Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,075	SATAPATHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen Rossoshek	2825
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>after non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Helen Rossoshek</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen Sullivan (Registration No. 38,329).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2006</u>	Time: <u><i>N/A</i></u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1,6,9,10-14,19,22-26, Drawings		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed amendment for claims 1,6,9,10-14,19,22-26 for clarification purposes and notified Applicant's representative, that drawings 4B and 5B should be replaced according to attached Draftsperson's notice. Agreement has been reached		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	the examiner will provide a writton the examiner will provide a writton the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)